Can One Measure the Weak Phase of a Penguin Diagram?

Rahul Sinha

The 3^{rd} International Conference on B Physics and CP Violation Taipei, December 3 - 7, 1999

Collaborators: David London and Nita Sinha

Reference: Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 074020.

Acknowledgements for making this trip possible:

- Local Organizing Committee of BCP-3.
- Prof. Hai-Yang Cheng, Academia Sinica.
- Prof. A. I. Sanda, Nagoya University.

Thank you very much.

Particularly compelling about CP violation in B - All three angles can in principle be extracted cleanly i.e. without too much theoretical uncertainty

Hope is to test CKM and determine whether CP violating. New Physics (NP) exists beyond SM.

Obvious question? How can NP affect CP asymmetries?

Two ways: NP can affect

- 1. B decays only modes with decay process dominated by penguin diagram rather than tree affected
- 2. Bruixing affect extraction of 45, Vtd affect measurement of &,B a measured by Balt)- TITE or PTT

d-x +OMP

B measured by Balt) > 4Ks

measured B± DK±, D* K*±

Ocancels in the sum x+3+8

New physics found if measurement of angles inconsistent with measurement

Allowed region of unitarity triangle Still fairly large. Concievable that even in presence of NP & constructed by L,B, & still lies within the allowed range

x, B, & A lies outside the allowed region - Is it new physics or underesting theoretical uncertainity which constrains unitarity &

-> Like a cleaner more direct test just like measurement of angles

There are such tests

1)
$$B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$$
 Vs $B_s^{\circ} \rightarrow D_s^{\pm} k^{\mp}$

2) $A_s(t) \rightarrow YK_s$ Vs $A_s(t) \rightarrow K_s$ mixing

Benefit in best pency $A_s(t) \rightarrow A_s(t)$

3) Bo(t) -> 46 cf asymmetry vanishes, Nonzero value =>NP in B=Bo mixing.

All these tests probe NP in b-> Fence Is there any direct test for NP in b->d FCNC? Consider pure $b \rightarrow d$ penguin $B_a^o \rightarrow K^o \overline{K^o}$ $B_s^o \rightarrow \phi K_s$ $U = \{u, e, t\}$ \overline{U} $U = \{u, e, t\}$ If top quark dominated dis

Pasynmetry $a_{K^0K^0} = 0$ discrepency > NP in b > d FCNC However, b-sd penguin not dominated by internal t Contribution of u,c as large as 20-50% of t quark

Byras Fliescher
PL 341B, 379(1995)

Are there ways of cleanly measuring the weak phase of t-great contribution to the b->d penguin? No

The general form of the b-d penguin

amplitude

P=Puvu+Peve+Peve

V= Vq= Vq6 Vqd

Vubreis

Vu+ve+ve=0 Unitarity relation

Elimating u quark piece

P= Pcueiau + Ptueiatue-is

Imagine that you can cleanly extract & using the above reation L.e. Express'-B' as a function of observables only

Now instead of eliminating the re'quark contribution, eliminating 't' quark contribution would give P = Pet eidet + Pret eidret eid eliminating

Earlier we got P = Pau eiden + Ptu eiden e-iß eliminating

Same method used to extract & can be used to extract Y.

le. I is same function of observables

as was used for -B => -B = r clearly untrue in general

impossible to cleanly extract weak those of top quark in b->d penguins

This is due to CKM ambiguity b-d penguin does not have

Real & Suppressed CKM ambiguity

What does it take to determine the weak phase of t quark in $b \rightarrow d$ penguins?

Comparing this phase with the phase of B_d^0 - $\overline{B_d^0}$ mixing will test the presence of New Physics (NP)

Let us consider various examples to find out.

We first set up some notation. The time-dependent decay rate for a $B_d^0(t)$ to decay into a final state f is

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(B_d^0(t) \to f) &= e^{-\Gamma t} \quad \left[\frac{|A|^2 + |\bar{A}|^2}{2} + \frac{|A|^2 - |\bar{A}|^2}{2} \cos(\Delta M t) \right. \\ &\left. - \mathrm{Im} \left(\frac{q}{p} A^* \bar{A} \right) \sin(\Delta M t) \right] \end{split}$$

Remove the mixing phase by redefining amplitudes. $A \to e^{-i\beta}A, \bar{A} \to e^{-i\beta}\bar{A}$. Time dependent measurement allows one to extract |A|, $|\bar{A}|$ and $\mathrm{Im}(A^*\bar{A})$

1).
$$B_d^0(t) \rightarrow K^0 \overline{K^0}$$

 $B^0_d o K^0 \overline{K^0}$ is a pure b o d penguin.

Study of the time-dependent decay rate gives 3 observables |A|, $|\bar{A}|$ and $\operatorname{Im}(A^*\bar{A})$, where

$$A \equiv e^{i\beta}A(B_d^0 \to K^0\overline{K^0}) \ ar{A} \equiv e^{-i\beta}A(\overline{B_d^0} \to K^0\overline{K^0}).$$

$$A = e^{i\delta_{cu}} \left(P_{cu} e^{i\beta} + P_{tu} e^{i(\delta_{tu} - \delta_{cu})} e^{-i\theta_{NP}} \right).$$

A has 5 unknowns. However β can be independently measured in $B_d^0(t) \to \Psi K_S$.

$$5 - 3 - 1 = 1$$

At least one more unknown than there are measurements.

2). Isospin Analysis of $B \to \pi\pi$

Amplitudes for the decays $B_d^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B_d^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ and $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$ form a triangle in isospin space

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A^{+-} + A^{00} = A^{+0}$$
 2 constraints,

with a similar triangle relation for the conjugate decays:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{A}^{+-} + \bar{A}^{00} = \bar{A}^{-0}$$
 2 constraints.

 $B_d^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-, \pi^0\pi^0$ receives contributions from a tree diagram and a $b \to d$ penguin diagram. Using unitarity to eliminate the $V_{cb}^*V_{cd}$ piece of the penguin diagram, we can write

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A^{+-} = e^{i\delta_P} \left(T^{+-}e^{i(\delta^{+-}-\delta_P)}e^{-i\alpha} + Pe^{-i\theta_{NP}} \right),$$

$$A^{00} = e^{i\delta_P} \left(T^{00}e^{i(\delta^{00}-\delta_P)}e^{-i\alpha} - Pe^{i\delta_P}e^{-i\theta_{NP}} \right),$$

$$A^{+0} = e^{i\delta_P} \left(T^{+-}e^{i(\delta^{+-}-\delta_P)} + T^{00}e^{i(\delta^{00}-\delta_P)} \right)e^{-i\alpha}.$$

T's include u quark piece of the penguin amplitude. The magnitudes of the six amplitudes $|A^{+-}|$, $|A^{00}|$, $|A^{+0}|$, $|\bar{A}^{+-}|$, $|\bar{A}^{00}|$ and $|\bar{A}^{-0}|$, can be measured experimentally. In addition one can measure 5 relative phases between these quantities.

There is however one further constraint $|A^{+0}| = |\bar{A}^{-0}|$.

$$7 - (11 - 5) = 1$$

We still have one more unknown than there are measurements.

3). Dalitz Plot Analysis for $B \rightarrow 3\pi$

Here $B\to \rho\pi$ amplitudes are the key ingredients. Isospin allows one to relate neutral $B\to \rho\pi$ decays to charged $B\to \rho\pi$ decays. Defining

$$S_1 \equiv e^{i\beta} \sqrt{2} A(B^+ \to \rho^+ \pi^0) \; , \ S_2 \equiv e^{i\beta} \sqrt{2} A(B^+ \to \rho^0 \pi^+) \; , \ S_3 \equiv e^{i\beta} A(B_d^0 \to \rho^+ \pi^-) \; , \ S_4 \equiv e^{i\beta} A(B_d^0 \to \rho^- \pi^+) \; , \ S_5 \equiv e^{i\beta} 2 A(B_d^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0) \; ,$$

Eliminating the $V_{cb}^*V_{cd}$ piece, the above amplitudes can be written explicitly as follows :

$$S_{1} = T^{+0}e^{i\delta^{+0}}e^{-i\alpha} + 2P_{1}e^{i\delta_{1}}e^{-i\theta_{NP}},$$

$$S_{2} = T^{0+}e^{i\delta^{0+}}e^{-i\alpha} - 2P_{1}e^{i\delta_{1}}e^{-i\theta_{NP}},$$

$$S_{3} = T^{+-}e^{i\delta^{+-}}e^{-i\alpha} + P_{1}e^{i\delta_{1}}e^{-i\theta_{NP}} + P_{0}e^{i\delta_{0}}e^{-i\theta_{NP}},$$

$$S_{4} = T^{-+}e^{i\delta^{-+}}e^{-i\alpha} - P_{1}e^{i\delta_{1}}e^{-i\theta_{NP}} + P_{0}e^{i\delta_{0}}e^{-i\theta_{NP}},$$

$$S_{5} = -T^{+-}e^{i\delta^{+-}}e^{-i\alpha} - T^{-+}e^{i\delta^{-+}}e^{-i\alpha} + T^{+0}e^{i\delta^{+0}}e^{-i\alpha} + T^{0+}e^{i\delta^{0+}}e^{-i\alpha} - 2P_{0}e^{i\delta_{0}}e^{-i\theta_{NP}}.$$

The Dalitz plot of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ final state contains enough information to determine the magnitudes and relative phases of the six amplitudes S_3 , S_4 , S_5 , \bar{S}_3 , \bar{S}_4 and \bar{S}_5 . S_1 , S_2 , \bar{S}_1 and \bar{S}_2 can be obtained from an analysis of the Dalitz plot of $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$. Thus, there are nominally 19 measurements, 10 amplitudes 9 phases.

There are however constraints:

Isospin pentagon relations,

$$S_1 + S_2 = S_3 + S_4 + S_5$$
 2 constraints,
 $\bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2 = \bar{S}_3 + \bar{S}_4 + \bar{S}_5$ 2 constraints,

mean S_5 and \bar{S}_5 are not independent. This removes 4 measurements.

- We have the equality $|S_1 + S_2| = |\bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2|$. This removes one more measurement.
- It is easy to verify the complex equality

$$\frac{S_3 - S_4 - S_1}{\bar{S}_3 - \bar{S}_4 - \bar{S}_1} = \frac{S_1 + S_2}{\bar{S}_1 + \bar{S}_2}$$

This removes 2 more measurements.

$$13 - (19 - 7) = 1$$

We still have one more unknown than there are measurements.

4). Angular Analysis of $B \rightarrow VV$ Decays

The fundamental quantities in all observables are the six amplitudes A_{λ} and A_{λ} , $\lambda=0,\perp,\parallel$. The most one can measure is their magnitudes and relative phases, for a total of 11 independent measurements.

The total number of theoretical parameters in the decay amplitudes are 13: β , θ_{NP} , 6 magnitudes of amplitudes – 2 for each of the 3 helicity, and 5 relative strong phases. Assuming that β is independently measured reduces one unknown.

$$13 - 1 - 11 = 1$$

We still have one more unknown than there are measurements.

Conclusion:

It is possible to obtain the weak phase of the penguin contributions, if one makes a single assumption involving the hadronic parameters. With such an assumption, one can test for the presence of new physics in the $b \rightarrow d$ flavour-changing neutral current by comparing the weak phase of $B_d^0 - \overline{B_d^0}$ mixing with that of the t-quark contribution to the $b \rightarrow d$ penguin.